

Guidelines for Implementation: DASH-IF Interoperability Points

Living Document, 3 January 2019

This version:

<https://dashif.org/guidelines/>

Issue Tracking:

[GitHub](#)

Editors:

DASH Industry Forum

Table of Contents

- 1 **Document editing notes**
- 2 **Chapter 1**
 - Conformance**
 - References**
 - Normative References

1. Document editing notes§

Documentation: <https://dashif.org/DocumentAuthoring/>

Example document repository: <https://dashif.org/DocumentAuthoring/>

Live discussion in #document-authoring on Slack.

2. Chapter 1§

Placeholder text. This document will eventually contain DASH-IF Interoperability Points v5.

Conformance§

Conformance requirements are expressed with a combination of descriptive assertions and RFC 2119 terminology. The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in the normative parts of this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. However, for readability, these words do not appear in all uppercase letters in this specification.

All of the text of this specification is normative except sections explicitly marked as non-normative, examples, and

notes. [\[RFC2119\]](#)

Examples in this specification are introduced with the words “for example” or are set apart from the normative text with `class="example"`, like this:

EXAMPLE 1

This is an example of an informative example.

Informative notes begin with the word “Note” and are set apart from the normative text with `class="note"`, like this:

Note, this is an informative note.

References§

Normative References§

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner. [Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels](#). March 1997. Best Current Practice.

URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119>

